

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

THOMAS ALBERT CASS,

Plaintiff,

v.

JD AND MCD, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-cv-00110-GMN-PAL

ORDER

On February 28, 2017, a screening order was entered and Cass' Complaint (ECF No. 3) was filed. No answer has been filed. Canon 3C(1)(c) of the Code of Conduct for United States Judges and 28 U.S.C. § 455(b)(4) require the court to screen cases for financial disqualification or other financial matters that may call for a judge's recusal (disqualification). LR 7.1-1(a) states, in pertinent part, that "pro se parties and attorneys for private non-governmental parties must identify in the disclosure statement all persons, associations of persons, firms, partnerships or corporations (including parent corporations) that have a direct, pecuniary interest in the outcome of the case."

The disclosure statement must include the following certification:

The undersigned, pro se party, certifies that the following may have a direct, pecuniary interest in the outcome of this case: (here list the names of all such parties and identify their connection and interests.) These representations are made to enable judges of the court to evaluate possible disqualifications or recusal.

Signature of pro se party.


Cass must file a notice with the court disclosing all persons, associations of persons, firms, partnerships or corporations (including parent corporations) that have a direct, pecuniary interest in the outcome of the case. If there are no known interested parties other than those participating

1 in the case, “a statement to that effect will satisfy the rule.” LR 7.1-1(b). Additionally, Cass must
2 promptly file a supplemental notice “upon any change in the information that this rule requires.”
3 LR 7.1-1(c).

4 Accordingly,

5 **IT IS ORDERED** that Cass shall file a notice with the court disclosing all persons,
6 associations of persons, firms, partnerships or corporations (including parent corporations) that
7 have a direct, pecuniary interest in the outcome of the case **no later than April 14, 2017**. Failure
8 to comply may result in the issuance of an order to show cause why sanctions should not be
9 imposed.

10 DATED this 31st day of March, 2017.

11 
12 PEGGY A. LEEN
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28